

**Ministry of Tourism
Government of India
(Swadesh Darshan)**

File no. 7/45/2021-SD

Dated: 07/06/2023

CORRIGENDUM – I

Subject: RFP No. SD-7/45/2021-SD dated 25.05.2023 regarding 'Selection of Technical Support Unit in the Gati Shakti Cell of Ministry of Tourism for assisting implementation of initiatives under PM Gati Shakti National Master Plan.


- (i) Reply to the pre-bid queries in respect of the above mentioned RFP
- (ii) Extension for Proposal Due Date

This invites reference to the above mentioned RFP dated 25.05.2023. In this context, I am directed to inform the following: -

- (a) A pre-proposal conference in respect of the above mentioned RFP was held on 01.06.2023. Response to the pre-bid queries is enclosed with this Corrigendum – 1 for reference of bidders/applicants.
- (b) The Proposal Due Date (PDD) is hereby extended to 22.06.2023, 17:00 hrs and the amendment in clause 1.5.1 'Schedule 4 & 5 may be read as under:-

Original schedule			Change in PDD		
S.no	Event Description	Date/Days	S.no	Event Description	Date/Days
4	Proposal Due Date or PDD	15.06.2023 till 17.00 Hrs	4	Proposal Due Date or PDD	22.06.2023 till 17.00 Hrs
5	Opening of Technical Proposals	16.06.2023	5	Opening of Technical Proposals	23.06.2023

2. Applicants are further advised to keep on checking the official website www.tourism.gov.in and www.eprocure.gov.in (CPP portal) for any corrigendum as advised in clause 1.5.2 of the above mentioned RFP.
3. All other clauses of the RFP mentioned above remain unchanged.
4. This issues with the approval of Competent Authority.


(Ajeet Kumar Tyagi)
Assistant Director (SD)

Encl. As above

To

All prospective bidders

Clarification in respect of Pre-bid Queries on RFP for Selection of Technical Support Unit in the GATI SHAKTI CELL of Ministry of Tourism for assisting Implementation of Initiatives under PM Gati Shakti National Master Plan

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
A	Nangia Andersen LLP			
1	<p>3.1.1 "Evaluation for Minimum Eligibility";</p> <p>3. Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past seven (7) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum of 2 (two) Eligible Assignments as defined in 3.1.2 where the consultancy fees received is more than INR 1 Crore. The project shall have commenced within 7 years preceding PDD as specified in Clause 1.5.1</p>	29	<p>Request to amend the clause as:</p> <p>Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past seven (7) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum of 2 (two) Eligible Assignments as defined in 3.1.2 where the consultancy fees is more than INR 0.50 Crore. The project shall have commenced within 7 years preceding PDD as specified in Clause 1.5.1</p>	<p>3.1.1 "Evaluation for Minimum Eligibility", 3. Technical Capacity – may read as under (subsequent references also to be read in light of the said changes)</p> <p>3.1.1 "Evaluation for Minimum Eligibility";</p> <p>3. Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past seven (7) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum of 2 (two) Eligible Assignments as defined in 3.1.2 where the consultancy fees received is more than INR. 0.75 Crore. The project shall have commenced within 7 years preceding PDD as specified in Clause 1.5.1</p>

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
2	<p>3.1.1 "Evaluation for Minimum Eligibility";</p> <p>5. Past performance of the Applicant An applicant or its Associate should have, during the last three years, neither failed to perform on any agreement, as evidenced by imposition of a penalty by an arbitral or judicial authority or a judicial pronouncement or arbitration award against the Applicant or its Associate, nor been expelled from any project or agreement nor have had any agreement terminated for breach by such Applicant or its Associate.</p>	30	<p>We would like to confirm that applicant/associate mentioned in the RFP means group firm/ Affiliates.</p>	<p>For the purpose of this RFP, Associate means, in relation of the Applicant, a person who controls, is controlled by, or is under the common control with such Applicant (the Associate). As used in this definition, the expression "control" means, with respect to a person which is a company or corporation, the ownership, directly or indirectly, of more than 50% (fifty percent) of the voting shares of such person, and with respect to a person which is not company or corporation, the power to direct the management and policies of such person by operation of law or by contract.</p>
3	<p>3.1.3 B1 "Relevant Experience of Key Personnel";</p> <p>Team Leader cum Spatial Planning Expert: Post Graduate Degree in Engineering/ Planning/ Geography/ Geoinformatics</p>	31	<p>Our submission is to include MBA degree as Team Leader is responsible for overall coordination, stakeholder management and timely & efficient functioning of the project. We request to amend the clause as: Post Graduate Degree in Engineering/ Planning/</p>	<p>No change in the RFP</p>

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
			Geography/ Geoinformatics/ MBA	
4	<p>3.1.2 B2 "Relevant Experience of Key Personnel";</p> <p>GIS Analyst Post Graduate Degree/ Diploma in GIS, Geoinformatics or Remote Sensing</p>	32	<p>Our submission is to include Degree in Planning as Planners have knowledge of the subject and experience in data collecting, analyzing, interpreting mapping and presenting on various toolkits and software. We request to amend the clause as: Post Graduate Degree/ Diploma in GIS, Geoinformatics or Remote Sensing/ Planning</p>	No change in the RFP
5	<p>ToR point E "Deliverables and Payment Terms"</p> <p>The consultant needs to station the team in the Ministry of Tourism within 7 days of signing the Contract Agreement.</p>	42	<p>We request to amend the clause as:</p> <p>The consultant needs to station the team in the Ministry of Tourism within 30 days of signing the Contract Agreement</p>	No change in the RFP
6	<p>Schedule - 2, clause 3.2 "Conflict of Interest"</p> <p>The Consultant shall not have a Conflict of Interest and any breach hereof shall constitute a breach of the Agreement.</p>	57-58	<p>We understand that an applicant/ consultant/firm hired by Ministry of Tourism for any Assignment/job shall not be hired for the Assignment/ job for same department.</p>	No change in the RFP
7	<p>Appendix - I Form 5: Particulars of the Applicant</p> <p>(iii) Has the Applicant been blacklisted by any Government department/Public Sector Undertaking in the last five years?</p>	95	<p>We would like to confirm that applicant mentioned in the RFP means associate/ group firm/ Affiliates.</p>	No change in the RFP

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
B	KPMG Advisory Services Private Limited			
1	1.5.1 "Schedule"	6	For the given engagement, we intend to put together a detailed and comprehensive proposal to showcase our strength and capability across required domains. Hence, it is requested that the submission deadline may be extended by 2 weeks to ensure submission of a well-researched and comprehensive proposal.	The Ministry of Tourism will issue a separate Corrigendum for submission deadline. The applicants are requested to visit CPP portal / tourism.gov.in for any update.
2	3.1.2 "Eligible Assignments" "For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, following advisory/ consultancy assignments carried out with Government institutions/ Private organizations will be treated as eligible assignments: a. GIS application related projects OR b. Geo spatial database management OR c. Programme support in PM Gati Shakti with other Ministries or other GIS based Monitoring Platforms"	30	a. It is highlighted that PM GatiShakti program execution is happening at a large scale across multiple states. Experience of the firm in undertaking such assignments at the State level will therefore be an important value add. Hence, Programme support in PM Gati Shakti at States may also be considered as eligible assignments. b. Implementation of PM Gati Shakti will be done in close coordination with Tourism departments at the State level. Therefore, experience of executing engagements with State Tourism departments may be considered under eligible assignments. c. It is highlighted that application of GIS (GIS based Mapping of Urban areas/ infrastructure/Natural Resource Management Areas, GIS analysis of satellite imagery and	No change in the RFP

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
			<p>preparation of maps and data, LIDAR based/ DGPS/ GPS, and Drone Survey) is a sub-component in larger assignments instead of stand-alone assignment. Hence, engagements where GIS application is a sub-component may also be considered in eligible engagements</p> <p>In view of the above, the authority is requested to consider the revised clause: "Eligible Assignments For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, following advisory/ consultancy assignments carried out with Government institutions/ Private organizations will be treated as eligible assignments:</p> <p>a. GIS application related projects/ Projects where application of GIS is a sub component OR b. Geo spatial database management/ Projects where Geo spatial application is a subcomponent OR c. Programme support/PMU Support in PM GatiShakti with State Govt./other Ministries or other GIS based Monitoring Platforms" OR d. Project management</p>	

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
			support to State Tourism Departments	
3	3.1.1 "3. Technical Capacity" Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past seven (7) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum of 2 (two) Eligible Assignments as defined in 3.1.2 where the consultancy fees received is more than INR 1 Crore. The project shall have commenced within 7 years preceding PDD as specified in Clause 1.5.1	29	<p>a. The authority is requested to consider extending the eligible proposal commencement period to ten (10) years to enable showcasing of relevant projects.</p> <p>b. It is also requested to revise the consultancy fees received to INR 50 Lakhs.</p> <p>The authority may consider the revised clause as below: "Technical Capacity: The Applicant shall have, over the past ten (10) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum of 2 (two) Eligible Assignments as defined in 3.1.2 where the consultancy fees received is more than INR 50 lakhs. The project shall have commenced within 10 years preceding PDD as specified in Clause 1.5.1"</p>	No change in RFP except clarification given in A.1 above
4	3.1.3 (A) Firm's Experience "Scoring for Firm's experience shall be evaluated based on technical presentation on 2 Eligible Assignments as defined in 3.1.2 undertaken by the firm where consultancy fees received is more than INR 1 Cr. The project shall have commenced within 7 years preceding PDD as specified in Clause 1.5.1.	31	<p>It is requested that instead of allocating marks purely on technical presentation of 2 Eligible assignments, the authority may consider granting marks based on description of the assignment as per format mentioned at Appendix – I (form 7) and its alignment with the existing criteria (Scale, relevance to ToR, and applicability of learnings)</p> <p>i. Max. 10 marks per assignment may be allocated. ii. For scoring max. 40 marks, the bidder must showcase 4 eligible projects which will be</p>	No change in RFP except clarification given in A.1 above

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
	<p>The Technical presentation will be evaluated by Proposal Evaluation Committee on following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Scale of the project in terms of extent of geographical area covered in the assignment o Scope of the project, tasks undertaken related to use of GIS application and its relevance to the scope elaborated for this assignment in Terms of Reference (Schedule – I) o Challenges & innovations from the project and applicability of its learnings for this assignment <p>Max.Marks (40)</p>		<p>scored as per the defined criteria.</p> <p>Furthermore, as mentioned in Sn. 3, the authority is requested to consider extending the eligible proposal commencement period to ten (10) years to enable showcasing of relevant projects and revise consultancy fee to INR 50 Lakhs.</p> <p>The authority may consider the revised clause as below: “Firm’s Experience Scoring for Firm’s experience shall be evaluated on Eligible Assignments as defined in 3.1.2 undertaken by the firm where consultancy fees received is more than INR 50 lakhs. The project shall have commenced within 10 years preceding PDD as specified in Clause 1.5.1. Max. 10 marks per assignment shall be granted based on description of the assignment as per format mentioned at Appendix – I (form 7) and its alignment with the following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o Scale of the project in terms of extent of geographical area covered in the assignment o Scope of the project, tasks undertaken related to use of GIS application and its relevance to the scope elaborated for this assignment in Terms of Reference (Schedule – I) o Challenges & innovations from the project and applicability of its learnings for 	

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
			this assignment Max.Marks (40)	
5	<p>Appendix I Form 7: Eligible Assignments of Applicant</p> <p>Notes: 1. Applicant to submit Completion Certificate, ToR and Work Order as evidence of the work. 2. For on-going assignments, minimum fee value of INR 1 Crore should be received by the Applicant and certified by Statutory Auditor/ Authorized Signatory shall be considered. 3. Use separate sheet for each eligible assignment.</p>	97	<p>a. It is requested that the authority may consider either Letter of Award / Agreement/ Contract/ LOI/ Workorder/ Certificate from the Client/ completion certificate as documentary proof of eligible engagements (for both completed and ongoing engagements).</p> <p>b. It is highlighted that since PM Gatishakti is a recent initiative, multiple engagements are ongoing. However, the minimum fee received may not have reached INR 1 Cr till date. It is therefore requested to remove this clause on min. fee value received.</p> <p>The authority may consider the revised clause as below: 1. For ongoing/ completed assignments, the Applicant to submit Letter of Award / Agreement/ Contract/ LOI/ Workorder/ Certificate from the Client/ completion certificate as evidence of the work. 2. Use separate sheet for each eligible assignment</p>	No change in RFP except clarification given in A.1 above
6	<p>3.1.3 (B) Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel</p> <p>Marks for the below mentioned profiles shall be evaluated based on submitted CV</p>	31-33	It is requested that key personnel profiles shall be evaluated on (a) Years of experience; (b) Relevance of assignments undertaken by the personnel as per the ToR. This will make the marking more objective.	No change in the RFP

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
	<p>(Appendix-II Form 2) and the technical presentation made by each key personnel showing Case studies of Two (2) Eligible Assignments they have been part of which best describes the suitability for the proposed position.</p>		<p>For GIS Analyst profile, it is requested to accept B.E./ B.Tech/ MCA/ M.Tech in addition to PG degree/ diploma in GIS, Geoinformatics or Remote Sensing It is requested that the following changes may be considered by the authority:</p> <p>a) Team Leader cum Spatial Planning Expert</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. No. of completed years of experience in Eligible Assignment– <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. 5 to 7 years – 4 Marks ii. 7 to 9 years – 7 Marks iii. 9 years & above – 10 Marks b. Marks based on relevance of assignments undertaken – 10 marks <p>b) GIS Analyst B.E./B.Tech/MCA/M.Tech/Post Graduate Degree/ Diploma in GIS, Geoinformatics or Remote Sensing with more than 3 years of experience in GIS</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a. No. of completed years of experience in Eligible Assignment – <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. 3 years – 1 Marks ii. 4 years – 3 Marks iii. 5 years & above – 5 Marks b. Marks based on relevance of assignments undertaken – 5 marks <p>c) IT Analyst B.E./B.Tech/MCA/M.Tech</p>	

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
			<p>with more than 3 years of experience in GIS</p> <p>a. No. of completed years of experience in Eligible Assignment –</p> <p>i. 3 years – 1 Marks</p> <p>ii. 4 years – 3 Marks</p> <p>iii. 5 years & above – 5 Marks</p> <p>b. Marks based on relevance of assignments undertaken – 5 marks</p>	
C	EY LLP			
1	<p>1.4 Brief Description of the Selection Process</p> <p>The Consultant will be selected on the Quality and Cost Based Selection (QCBS) process with 70% weightage to technical proposal and 30% to financial proposal.</p>	5	<p>This project requires a unique technical approach and adept expertise. To ensure highest quality of technical approach the weightage of technical proposal and financial proposal should be changed from 70:30 to 80:20.</p>	<p>M/s EY LLP is working as National Programme Management Unit (NPMU) of the Swadesh Darshan scheme and is extending support to manage Gati Shakti programme of the Ministry. Hence, M/s EY LLP is not eligible to participate in this tender.</p>
2	<p>3.1.1 "3. Technical Capacity"</p> <p>The Applicant shall have, over the past seven (7) years preceding the PDD, undertaken a minimum of 2 (two) Eligible</p>	29	<p>Technical Capacity of the firm should be evaluated on projects that have commenced within last 10 years preceding PDD as COVID had impacted onset of such project for a couple of years.</p>	
3	<p>3.1.1 "4. Financial Capacity"</p> <p>The Applicant shall have minimum average annual revenue of Rs. 8 Crore (Rupees Eight Crore only) from professional fees</p>	29-30	<p>To ensure participation of bidders with adequate financial strength, and capacity and high quality solutions and services, the eligibility for revenue should be changed to Rs. 50 Crore.</p>	

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
	<p>during the last 3 (three) financial years (i.e., 2019-20, 2020-21 & 2021-22). For the avoidance of doubt, professional fees hereunder refer to fees received by the Applicant for providing consultancy services to its clients.</p>			
4	<p>3.1.2 Eligible Assignments</p> <p>Following advisory/ consultancy assignments carried out with Government institutions/ Private organizations will be treated as eligible assignments:</p> <p>a. GIS application related projects OR b. Geo spatial database management OR c. Programme support in PM Gati Shakti with other Ministries or other GIS based Monitoring Platforms</p>	30	<p>Eligible Assignments should also include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - ERP system with geo-spatial capabilities - e-governance system with GIS features - GIS Mapping related projects in ULBs 	
5	<p>3.1.3 (A) Firm's Experience</p> <p>The Technical presentation will be evaluated by Proposal</p>	31	<p>Scale of project in terms of area should not be considered for tech evaluation as some ULBs which are carrying out such projects have a smaller area.</p>	

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
	<p>Evaluation Committee on following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scale of the project in terms of extent of geographical area covered in the assignment • Scope of the project, tasks undertaken related to use of GIS application and its relevance to the scope elaborated for this assignment in Terms of Reference (Schedule – I) • Challenges & innovations from the project and applicability of its learnings for this assignment 			
6	<p>3.1.3 (B-1) Team Leader cum Spatial Planning Expert</p> <p>Maximum of 10 marks for length of experience working on eligible assignments & Maximum of 10 marks for technical presentation</p>	32	<p>Evaluation of Team Leader should be based on his/her length of experience, the experience of which could also be extended to working on e-governance and digital transformation projects.</p>	
D	Grant Thornton Bharat			
1.	<p>3.1.2 Eligible Assignments</p> <p>For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility</p>	30	<p>We understand that for the evaluation of proposals under this RFP, it is important to consider advisory/consultancy</p>	<p>No change in the RFP</p>

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
	<p>and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, following advisory/ consultancy assignments carried out with Government institutions/ Private organizations will be treated as eligible assignments:</p> <p>a. GIS application related projects OR</p> <p>b. Geo spatial database management OR</p> <p>c. Programme support in PM Gati Shakti with other Ministries or other GIS based Monitoring Platforms</p>		<p>assignments carried out by organizations that have provided digital credentials or assignments involving API integration of geospatial data. Therefore, we kindly request the following amendment to the clause:</p> <p>For the purposes of determining Conditions of Eligibility and for evaluating the Proposals under this RFP, the following advisory/ consultancy assignments carried out with Government institutions (ULB, State Govt, Central Govt) /Private organizations will be considered eligible:</p> <p>a. GIS application-related projects. or</p> <p>b. Geospatial database management. Or</p> <p>c. Programme support in PM Gati Shakti with Government institutions/Private organizations. Or GIS based monitoring platforms.</p> <p>d. Programme support in GIS-based monitoring platforms/ Geospatial Data related Work, including assignments that have provided digital credentials or assignments involving API integration/ Dashboard development works/ analytics for Digital Development/ E Governance. OR</p>	

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
			<p>e. Current and Ongoing engagement / Projects will also be considered.</p> <p>We believe that this amendment will provide a more comprehensive and inclusive evaluation of eligible assignments.</p>	
2.	<p>3.1.3. Sr No. (A) Firm's Experience</p> <p>Scoring for Firm's experience shall be evaluated based on technical presentation on 2 Eligible Assignments as defined in 3.1.2 undertaken by the firm where consultancy fees received is more than INR 1 Cr. The project shall have commenced within 7 years preceding PDD as specified in Clause 1.5.1.</p> <p>The Technical presentation will be evaluated by Proposal Evaluation Committee on following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scale of the project in terms of extent of geographical area covered in the assignment • Scope of the project, tasks undertaken related to use of GIS application and its 	31	<p>Firm's Experience</p> <p>Scoring for Firm's experience shall be evaluated based on technical presentation on 2 Eligible Assignments as defined in 3.1.2 undertaken by the firm where consultancy fees received is more than INR 25 Lakhs. The project shall have commenced within 7 years preceding Ten 10 Years PDD as specified in Clause 1.5.1.</p> <p>The Technical presentation firm's experience will be evaluated by Proposal Evaluation Committee on following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Scale Complexity of the project in terms of extent of geographical area covered various topologies used in the assignment along with overlay analysis. - Scope of the project, tasks undertaken related to use of GIS application and its relevance to the scope elaborated for this assignment in Terms of Reference (Schedule 	No change in RFP except clarification given in A.1 above

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
	<p>relevance to the scope elaborated for this assignment in Terms of Reference (Schedule – I)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Challenges & innovations from the project and applicability of its learnings for this assignment 		<p>–)to eligible assignments as per revised 3.1.2.</p> <p>- Challenges & innovations from the project and applicability of its learnings for this assignment</p> <p>Max. Marks – 40</p> <p>We request authority to consider reducing Fees Received criteria from 1Cr to 25 Lakhs considering eligibility of recently awarded and ongoing projects in GIS/ Gati Shakti.</p> <p>Further the extension of assessment time frame for projects from 7 to 10 years is being requested to compensate for the Covid years of 2020, 2021, and 2022. During which much of the projects of the Public Sector were stalled, delayed, or deferred.</p> <p>The amendment to the RFP requested to the RFP is being requested due to the fact that mere geographical area cannot decide the scale of the respective engagements as it depends on the government authority with which the consultant is engaged. Further in this, the jurisdictional area of respective authority will decide the spread of the respective projects. GIS exercises/ engagements are usually carried on three topologies, Point, Line and Polygon. If any</p>	

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
			assignment has addressed one or more of these topologies, then the engagement should be considered as relevant for evaluation.	
3.	<p>3.1.3. Sr No. (B) Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel</p> <p><i>Marks for the below mentioned profiles shall be evaluated based on submitted CV (Appendix-II Form 2) and the technical presentation made by each key personnel showing Case studies of Two (2) Eligible Assignments they have been part of which best describes the suitability for the proposed position. The Technical presentation will be evaluated by Proposal Evaluation Committee on following criteria:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scale of the project in terms of extent of geographical area covered in the assignment • Scope of the project, tasks undertaken related to GIS application and its relevance to the scope elaborated for this assignment in 	31	<p>Relevant Experience of the Key Personnel</p> <p>Marks for the below mentioned profiles shall be evaluated based on submitted CV (Appendix-II Form 2) and the technical presentation made by each key personnel showing Case studies of Two (2) Eligible Assignments they have been part of which best describes the suitability for the proposed position.</p> <p>The Technical presentation experience of Key Personnel will be evaluated by Proposal-Evaluation Committee on following criteria:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Scale Complexity of the project in terms of extent of geographical area covered in the assignment various topologies used in the assignment along with overlay analysis. • Scope of the project, tasks undertaken related to GIS application and its relevance to the scope elaborated for this assignment in Terms of Reference (Schedule - I) to eligible assignments as per revised 3.1.2. • Challenges & innovations from the project and applicability of its learnings for this assignment. 	No change in the RFP

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
	<p>Terms of Reference (Schedule – I)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Challenges & innovations from the project and applicability of its learnings for this assignment 			
4.	3.3 Sr no. A to B	30-33	<p>We understand the technical proposal part consists of Firm's Experience – 40 Marks, Relevant Experience of Key Personnel – 40 Marks. Total Technical Proposal 80 Marks.</p> <p>Considering Evaluation Criteria of the RFP, as a bidder we request you to provide clarity on at least 80% score of Technical Proposal which is 64 Marks during proposal evaluation.</p> <p>Authority may reserve rest 16 marks of Technical Proposal to be considered during Technical Presentation. Only successful bidder with at least 70% (56 Marks) or more should be invited for the presentation.</p>	No change in the RFP
5.	Schedule - 2, clause 3.3 Confidentiality	60	An exemption is required for compelled disclosures should be added to the Confidentiality Clause.	No change in the RFP
6.	Schedule - 2, clause 9.4 Arbitration	73	The arbitrator should be mutually appointed so that the process is fair. Request to modify the clause accordingly.	No change in the RFP
5.	Additional Clause Indemnity Clause	Any page convenient to authority	We would like to request you kindly add the indemnity clause under the Terms of Reference.	No change in the RFP
6.	Additional Clause Non-Solicitation	Any page convenient to authority	We would like to request you kindly add the non- Solicitation clause under the Terms of Reference.	No change in the RFP
7.	Additional Clause Non-Exclusivity	Any page convenient	We would like to request you kindly add the non- Exclusivity clause under the Terms of Reference.	No change in the RFP

Sn.	Relevant Clause of RFP	Page No. of RFP document	Query	Clarifications
		to authority		
8.	Additional Clause Retention of confidential information & Compelled disclosure	Any page convenient to authority	We would like to request you kindly add the Retention of confidential information & Compelled disclosure clause under the Terms of Reference.	No change in the RFP
9.	1.5.1 "Schedule"	6	We kindly request your consideration in granting us an appropriate timeframe to submit a high-quality proposal. It would be greatly appreciated if we could be allotted a minimum of two weeks post existing PDD . This additional time will allow us to thoroughly prepare and present a comprehensive proposal that aligns with our commitment and your requirement to delivery excellence.	The Ministry of Tourism will issue a separate Corrigendum for submission deadline. The applicants are requested to visit CPP portal / tourism.gov.in for any update.
